

MINUTES: MANAGEMENT REVIEW BOARD MEETING OF NORTH DAKOTA
SEPTEMBER 5, 2019

THE MANAGEMENT REVIEW BOARD MEETING ATTENDEES WERE AS FOLLOWS:

Management Review Board

K. Steven West, MRB Chair, OEDO
Rob Lewis, MRB Member, NMSS
Mary Spencer, MRB Member, OGC

Raymond Lorson, Region I
Agustinus Ong, OAS Liaison, NH

IMPEP Team

Kathy Modes, Team Lead, NMSS
Duncan White, MSST
Josh Daelher, MA

Jackie Cook, Region IV
Sherrie Flaherty, MN

State of North Dakota

Dale Patrick
Karen Deibert
Wyatt Peterson

David Stradinger
Brooke Olsen

Staff

Andera Kock, NMSS/MSST
Paul Michalak, NMSS/MSST
Robert Johnson, NMSS/MSST

Lizette Roldan-Otero, NMSS/MSST
Joe O'Hara, NMSS/MSST
Duncan White, NMSS/MSST

Members of the Public

Brian Goretzki, AZ
Matt Bullock, WA

Phillip Peterson, CO

TOPICS DISCUSSED DURING THE MEETING INCLUDED:

1. Convention. Mr. Robert Johnson convened the meeting at approximately 1:00 p.m. (ET). He noted that this Management Review Board (MRB) meeting was open to the public. Introductions of the attendees were conducted.
2. North Dakota IMPEP Review. Ms. Kathy Modes led the presentation of the North Dakota Integrated Materials Performance Evaluation Program (IMPEP) review results to the MRB. She summarized the review and the team's findings for the indicators reviewed. The on-site review was conducted by a team composed of technical staff members from the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC), the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, and the State of Minnesota, during the period of June 10-13, 2019. A draft report was issued to North Dakota for factual comment on July 11, 2019, and they responded with no comment on July 29, 2019. Ms. Modes reported that the team found North Dakota's performance was satisfactory on all indicators reviewed.

3. Performance Indicators.

- a) Ms. Kathy Modes reviewed and presented the common performance indicator, **Technical Staffing and Training**. Her presentation corresponded to Section 3.1 of the proposed final IMPEP report. The MRB, the team, and North Dakota representatives briefly discussed the status of the staff hired during the review period and the impact of vacancies on the Agreement State Program.

The team found North Dakota's performance with respect to this indicator to be "satisfactory" and the MRB agreed.

- b) Ms. Kathy Modes reviewed and presented the common performance indicator, **Status of Materials Inspection Program**. Her presentation corresponded to Section 3.2 of the proposed final IMPEP report. The MRB, the team, and North Dakota representatives briefly discussed inspection findings. The team also identified one good practice related to reciprocity inspections.

The team found North Dakota's performance with respect to this indicator to be "satisfactory" and the MRB agreed. The MRB also agreed with the team's recommendation related to reciprocity inspections.

- c) Mr. Joshua Daehler reviewed and presented the common performance indicator, **Technical Quality of Inspections**. His presentation corresponded to Section 3.3 of the proposed final IMPEP report. The MRB, the team, and North Dakota representatives briefly discussed the status of inspection actions.

The team found North Dakota's performance with respect to this indicator to be "satisfactory" and the MRB agreed.

- d) Ms. Jackie Cook reviewed the common performance indicator, **Technical Quality of Licensing Actions**. Ms. Cook presented the team's findings. Her presentation corresponded to Section 3.4 of the proposed final IMPEP report. The MRB, the team, and North Dakota's representatives discussed the team's findings, as well as the actions the program had taken to address them.

The team found North Dakota's performance with respect to this indicator to be "satisfactory" and the MRB agreed. The MRB also agreed with the team's recommendation.

- e) Ms. Sherrie Flaherty reviewed and presented the common performance indicator, **Technical Quality of Incident and Allegation Activities**. Her presentation corresponded to Section 3.5 of the proposed final IMPEP report. The MRB, the team, and North Dakota representatives discussed incidents of "high risk" and protecting allegeders' identities.

The team found North Dakota's performance with respect to this indicator to be "satisfactory" and the MRB agreed.

- f) Ms. Kathy Modes reviewed and presented the non-common performance indicator, **Compatibility Requirements**. Her presentation corresponded to Section 4.1 of the proposed final IMPEP report. The MRB, the team, and North

Dakota representatives discussed the State's overdue regulations, and program elements, as well as the actions the program has taken to address them.

The team found North Dakota's performance with respect to this indicator to be "satisfactory" and the MRB agreed.

4. MRB Consultation/Comments on Issuance of Report. The team recommended, and the MRB agreed, that the North Dakota Agreement State Program be found adequate to protect public health and compatible with the NRC's program. The team recommended that the next IMPEP review take place in approximately 5 years with a periodic meeting in approximately 2.5 years. The final report may be found in the ADAMS using the Accession Number ML19256A024.
5. Precedents/Lessons Learned. None
6. Comments from Members of the Public. None
7. Adjournment. The meeting was adjourned at approximately 1:56 p.m. (ET)

SUBJECT: SUMMARY OF SEPTEMBER 5, 2019 NORTH DAKOTA MRB MEETING

OFFICE	NMSS/SALB	MSST/SALB
NAME	JParks	RJohnson
DATE	10/28/19	10/28/19

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY